IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.433 OF 2007

IN

Notice od Motion No.652 of 2007

Mukund Gadgil .. Appellant

V/s

Zandu Chemicals Ltd. and Ors...Respondents

Shri Vivek M. Sharma for Appellnat

Mr.T.Subramaniam i/b Maneksha and Sethana for

Respondent nos. 1 to 9

CORAM:S.R.SATHE,J.

DATED:17th Aug. 2007

P.C.:-

1. Heard Shri Vivek M. Sharma for the Appellant. In the morning sessions when this matter was mentioned by him it was submitted that his client has not come and he has not given instructions. So with a view to accommodate him the matter was kept back. Now it is called for hearing at 1-20 p.m.

2. The learned Advocate for the Appellant submits that he has no instruction from the Appellant. He is also not ready to proceed with the arguments.

3. From the perusal of the record it appears that right from first date i.e. 8-6-2007 the matter has been adjourned from time to time at the instance of the Appellant. Even today the Appellant is not present in the Court and his Advocate is not ready to proceed with the matter.

4. The learned Advocate for the Respondent nos.1 to 9 submits that previously when the matter was placed before the Court (Coram:S.A.Bobde,J) it was in fact submitted that the matter is likely to be withdrawn. Under the circumstances there is no propriety in granting any further adjournment and hence the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(S.R.SATHE,J.)